

entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend his petition, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the basis for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. by April 4, 1983. A copy of the petition must also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Gerald Garfield, Esq., Day, Berry & Howard, One Constitution Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06103, attorney for the applicant. Any requests for additional information regarding the content of this notice should be addressed to the Chief Hearing Counsel, Office of the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer, or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a later petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR § 2.714(a)(1)(I)-(v) and 2.714(D).

For further details pertinent to the matters under consideration, see the application for the facility operating license, including the Final Safety Analysis Report and the Environmental Report, forwarded on February 2, 1983, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public

Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the Waterford Public Library, Rope Ferry Road, Route 156, Waterford, Connecticut 06385. As they become available, the following documents may be inspected at the above locations: (1) The safety evaluation report prepared by the Commission's staff; (2) the draft environmental statement; (3) the final environmental statement; (4) the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) on the application for the facility operating license; (5) the proposed facility operating license; and (6) the technical specifications, which will be attached to the proposed facility operating license.

Copies of the proposed operating license and the ACRS report, when available, may be obtained by request to the Director, Division of Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies of the Commission's staff safety evaluation report and final environmental statement, when available, may be purchased at current rates, from the National Technical Information Service, Department of Commerce, 5282 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 4th day of February 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
B. J. Youngblood,  
Chief, Licensing Branch No. 1, Division of Licensing.

[FR Doc. 83-5607 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### [Docket No. 50-375]

#### Negative Declaration for the Rockwell International Corporation L-85 Nuclear Examination Reactor

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has considered the Order authorizing dismantling of facility and disposition of component parts for the Rockwell International Corporation (the licensee) L-85 Nuclear Examination Reactor operated under Facility License No. R-118. The Order authorizes the licensee to disassemble the reactor which had operated at power levels up to 3 kW (thermal), and to dispose of the component parts.

The Commission's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has prepared an environmental impact appraisal for this training reactor. On the basis of this appraisal, the Commission has concluded that an environmental impact statement for this particular action is not warranted because there will be no

significant environmental impact attributable to the proposed action. The environmental impact appraisal is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22d day of February 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Darrell G. Eisenhut,  
Director, Division of Licensing.

[FR Doc. 83-5608 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### [Docket No. 50-375]

#### Rockwell International; Order Authorizing Dismantling of Facility and Disposition of Component Parts

By application dated March 10, 1980, as amended by letter dated December 14, 1982, Rockwell International (the licensee) requested authorization to dismantle its L-85 Nuclear Examination Reactor (the facility), located at the licensee's site at Santa Susana Field Laboratory, Ventura County, California, and to dispose of the component parts, in accordance with the plan submitted as part of the application. A "Notice of Proposed Issuance of Orders Authorizing Dismantling of Facility, Disposition of Component Parts, and Termination of Facility License" was published in the *Federal Register* on April 30, 1980 (45 FR 30759). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has reviewed the application in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's rules and regulations and has found that the dismantling and disposal of component parts under the licensee's dismantling plan will be in accordance with the regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, and will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. The basis for the findings is set forth in the concurrently issued Safety Evaluation by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

The Commission has prepared an environmental impact appraisal for this action. Based on that appraisal, the Commission has determined that this action will no result in any significant environmental impact and that an environmental impact statement need not be prepared.

Accordingly, Rockwell International is hereby authorized to dismantle the facility covered by Facility License No. R-118, and dispose of the component

parts in accordance with its corrected dismantling plan dated December 14, 1982 and the Commission's rules and regulations.

After completion of the dismantling and decontamination of the reactor, the submission of a report on the radiation survey to confirm that radiation levels in the facility area meet the values defined in the dismantling plan, and inspection by representatives of the Commission, consideration will be given to whether a further order should be issued terminating Facility License No. R-118.

For further details with respect to this action see: (1) The application for authorization to dismantle facility and dispose of component parts dated March 10, 1980, as revised by letter dated December 14, 1982, (2) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation, (3) the Commission's Environmental Impact Appraisal, and (4) the Commission's Negative Declaration dated February 22, 1983 (which is also being published in the *Federal Register*). All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22d day of February 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Darrell G. Eisenhut,**  
*Director, Division of Licensing.*

[FR Doc. 83-5609 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-361]

**Southern California Edison Co., et al.; Exemption to 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, General Design Criterion 56; Facility Operating License No. NPF-10**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted an exemption to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criterion 56 until March 22, 1983, to Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, the City of Riverside, California and the City of Anaheim, California (licensees) for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2 (the facility) located in San Diego County, California.

The exemption is associated with Amendment No. 12 to Facility Operating License NPF-10, issued to the licensees on December 23, 1982. The exemption allows the licensees 90 days to change two remote-manual CCW non-critical

loop isolation valves to automatic isolation. The exemption is based on the Staff's evaluation as reflected in the Safety Evaluation accompanying Amendment No. 12 and on a finding by the NRC staff that the exemption is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest.

For further details with respect to this action, see: (1) The Commission's letter to the licensees dated February 22, 1983, (2) Amendment No. 12 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-10, dated December 23, 1982 and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation.

These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and the San Clemente Library, 242 Avenida Del Mar, San Clemente, California 92672. A copy of items (1), (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Attention: Director, Division of Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22nd day of February 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**George W. Knighton,**  
*Chief, Licensing Branch No. 3, Division of Licensing.*

[FR Doc. 83-5610 Filed 3-3-83; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362]

**Southern California Edison Co., et al; Issuance of Amendments Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-10 and NPF-15**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 15 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-10, and Amendment No. 4 to Facility Operating License NPF-15 to Southern California Edison Company (SCE), San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside, California and The City of Anaheim, California (licensees) for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3 (the facility) located in San Diego County, California. These amendments are effective February 17, 1983.

The amendments modify the Emergency Preparedness license conditions to grant additional time to satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 50.47(b)(12) related to medical services. These amendments were requested by the SCE letter of January 14, 1983 and were authorized by the Atomic Safety

and Licensing Board's Order of February 1, 1983.

Issuance of these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of these amendments is subsumed by the prior public notice of the overall action regarding issuance of operating licenses for these facilities, published in the *Federal Register* on April 7, 1977 (42 FR 18460).

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and the pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see: (1) Southern California Edison Company's letter dated January 14, 1983, (2) Amendment No. 15 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-10, and (3) Amendment No. 4 to Facility Operating License NPF-15.

These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and the San Clemente Library, 242 Avenida Del Mar, San Clemente, California 92672. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 17th day of February, 1983.

for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
**George W. Knighton,**  
*Chief, Licensing Branch No. 3, Division of Licensing.*

[FR Doc. 83-5611 3-3-83; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-445/446-OL (ASLBP No. 79-430-06 OL)]

**Texas Utilities Generating Co., et al., Comanche Peak, Units 1 & 2; Reconstitution of Board**

Pursuant to the authority contained in 10 CFR 2.721 (1980), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board for *Texas Utilities Generating Company, et al.* (Comanche Peak, Units 1 & 2), Docket Nos. 50-445/446-OL, is hereby reconstituted by